

# COST ANALYSIS OF DEPLOYING FEMTOCELLS IN SPEED TRAINS IN NIGERIA TO IMPROVE INDOOR COVERAGE AND CAPACITY OF LTE NETWORK

Raphael C. Eze, Mamilus A. Ahaneku, Udora N. Nwawelu, Douglas A. Amoke Department of Electronic and Computer Engineering University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria

Abstract— The cost analysis of deployment of femtocells in speed trains along Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge railway line to improve the coverage and capacity of Long Term Evolution (LTE) network for 5000 commuters using that route daily is presented in this paper. Additional cost will include the cost of femtocells procurement, license, installation, backhaul, operation & maintenance. The cost analysis is carried out using techno-economic model to determine the business viability of embarking on such a project by LTE network providers in Nigeria. The estimated capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) as well as the projected revenues accrues from this project form the cash outflows and cash inflows used to simulate the net present value (NPV) and internal rate of return (IRR) in MS Excel environment on a discount rate and tax rate of 22% and 30% respectively to determine the feasibility of the investment. The results of their NPV values which are positive show that the macro-femtocell arrangement in speed train plying Abuja-Kaduna railway route is cost effective for a life time of 10 years and is feasible up to an IRR 40% which exceeds the estimated discount rate of 22%. The value of the payback period indicates that it will not take long for any LTE network provider in Nigeria to recover their investment. The result of the NPV sensitivity analysis shows how various cost and non-cost parameters affect the costeffectiveness of such investment.

Keywords— Net Present Value, Internal Rate of Return, Long Term Evolution, Femtocells, Sensitivity analysis

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The growing insecurity along Abuja-Kaduna high way has prompted many travelers using this highway to switch to Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge railway line as an alternative means of transportation. According to [1], 5000 commuters are using the route daily. This railway route which is about 186 km with nine standard stations crossed many communities and towns from Abuja to Kaduna. The LTE network providers in Nigeria can take advantage of this human traffic and make LTE network available for these commuters. LTE network itself suffers building penetration losses (BPL) which reduce network coverage and capacity thereby making it difficult for these commuters to access the LTE network. To address this problem, the use of macro-femtocell which has been proposed by many researchers is needed. The use of macro-femtocell will introduce additional cost to providing LTE network to these commuters. The cost effectiveness of deploying these femtocells to speed train plying Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge railway line so that commuters can access LTE network is investigated in this work using techno-economic model. This model has been proposed by many researchers as a veritable tool for analyzing the feasibility of investing in a given technology as in [2-10]. Techno-economic modeling (TEM) is used to combine research and development (R&D), engineering, and business which can help businesses to have the knowledge of the factors that affect the profitability of their investments on technology [11]. A lot of papers have been published on joint macro-cell and femtocell networks. While majority of these papers pay attention to finding solutions to femtocell technical issues, like interference and handoff management [12-14], others focus on using technoeconomic model to determine the economic gains of deploying femtocells in LTE network in stationary structures such as tall buildings (towers), large offices and stadia [2-10]. However, no previous research has been done to study the cost of macro-femtocell arrangement in speed trains in Nigeria context. The objectives of this work are to check cost effectiveness of deployment of femtocells to already existing macro-cells in LTE network in speed trains plying Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge railway line and to perform sensitivity analysis on this cost effectiveness in order to determine how it is affected by various input parameters. The cost effectiveness is determined by the value of the Net Present Value (NPV) whose value can be negative, zero or positive as the case may be.

#### II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

#### A. Femtocells and Femtocell Access Point (FAP)

Femtocell is a cellular base station which is small and has low power. It can cover smaller distance and is specifically made



for use in homes and small business areas [17]. A femtocell makes it possible for those that provide mobile network to increase indoor coverage or make it possible for those at the cell edge to have access to network. Apart from increasing coverage, femtocell has the ability to increase network capacity. It helps to offload traffic from macro cell.

#### B. Abuja-Kaduna Railway Line

Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge railway started operations in July 2016. Within two years of its operation, it had provided service for about one million commuters and created about six hundred direct employments as reported by Nigeria Railways Corporation (NRC) [18]. The focus of this research is on business feasibility of deploying femtocells in speed train in Nigeria in order to improve LTE network for commuters using this means of transportation. Abuja-Kaduna Standard gauge railway line is used as a case study for the following reasons; It is the only most effective functional standard railway line in Nigeria offering services to over 1.5 million passengers so far (as of April 18, 2019) [19] and carrying an average of 2000 commuters daily from Abuja to Kaduna and vice versa. It is also Safer, secure, and affordable.

#### C. Techno-economic Model

Techno-economic model (TEM) is a tool that is used by investors in technology to determine the business viability of their investments. It contains elements of engineering and economics, and helps investors to have a better understanding of the ingredients that influence the viability of their technological investments The field [11]. of telecommunication uses bottom-up models as its techno economic analysis. This model was adopted because it is comprehensive, and helps to pinpoint inputs, outputs and function models. It supplies all the main elements of economics and engineering for the calculation of NPV [10]. The two most common platforms for TEM are Spreadsheet software and process simulation software. These two are the commonly used software for techno-economic models. Although each has its own usefulness, MS Excel software was used in this work. TEM is shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1 Techno-economic methodology and tool [10]

From this design, there are some elements that can be analyzed to get a truly comprehensive techno-economic model. They are CAPEX, OPEX, NPV, IRR and PBP.

#### III. RESEARCH METHODS

This work is on the cost effectiveness of deploying femtocells to rail mass-transit in Nigeria in order to enhance the capacity and coverage of LTE network using Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge light rail trains as a case study. It is assumed that there are already existing macro-cells covering this route, but due to vehicular penetration loss which is always an issue with radio signal, these cells are not able to provide enough capacity and coverage for LTE users plying this route. Techno-economic model used in cost analysis is a bottom up approach and data collected will be simulated on an MS Excel environment. These data include CAPEX, OPEX and expected revenues accruing from such an investment. The values so far calculated will be simulated in MS Excel environment to determine the NPV and IRR values which will be used to determine the feasibility of such an investment.

#### A. Scenario Description

Passenger trains plying Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge light rail is considered. The air conditioned passenger trains can carry up to 5,000 commuters according to [1]. Femtocells have to be deployed in these trains in order to provide coverage and capacity for these 5000 commuters. According to Nigeria minister of transportation, as quoted in [20], four coaches can carry up to 380 passengers. If we make provisions for at least 5000 passengers, we will be deploying femtocells in about 53 coaches. Figure 2 shows the architecture of such deployment.





Fig. 2 Architecture of macro-femtocell arrangement in speed trains [21]

## **B.** User Demand

Active users (user demand) are usually computed using the internal data of the particular company according to [22]. The method of determining the number of active users is exclusive to each company, and the specific details are not shared by many companies [23]. Some companies alter their computation method over time [22]. Therefore, the number of monthly active users is based on assumption with reference to previous statistics.

Most LTE network providers in Nigeria offer a monthly data rate of about 15 Gb [24-25]. Assuming an average demand of 10 Gb per user per month, then the average user data rate using 2 busy hours per day is 397.7 Kbps. Assume 80% of the 5000 commuters have access to the LTE network then their total data demand is 1.5908 Gbps. Since it is not possible that all the subscribers will be active at the busy hours, 70% of the total demand which corresponds to 1.11356 Gbps is chosen for wireless usage. LTE network has a peak data rate of 300 Mbps for downlink while the uplink is 75 Mbps [26][27]. Femtocell is assumed to be 100% since LTE network users inside the coaches of the trains are meant to seamlessly access a femtocell because interference is assumed to be reduced based on the methods suggested in [12]. This means that every other things being equal, these standard peak rates can be achieved, but this is not the case due to average sector

throughput which is as a result of many users trying to access the LTE network at peak period. Since LTE network supports terminals that have speed up to 350 km/h or 500 km/h which is a function of the frequency band, the download speed and upload speed will be different (slower) from fixed terminals. Therefore a minimum throughput of 2 Mbps is assumed for a vehicle moving with a speed between 150 km/h and 250 km/h which is the speed of the train plying Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge railway [1].

## C. Coverage and Capacity of Radio Access Network

Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge rail line covers a distance of 186 km with a total of nine railway stations according to [28]. Therefore, in order to meet the user demand in terms of coverage and capacity a good number of eNodeBs are needed. We assumed that at least each station has a base station (BS) with each BS having a total of three sectors. Each sector is allocated a bandwidth of 10 MHz at a frequency band of 800 MHz. A sector with a channel width of 10 MHz has Resource Block (RB) of 50 and 600 subcarriers. The spectral efficiency and the throughput of the downlink (DL) and uplink (UL) can be calculated as in [26] using 64-QAM modulation scheme:

One Physical Resource Block (PRB) (12 Subcarriers  $\times$  2Slots) = 168 Symbols per ms (A slot has 7 symbols) 50 PRB (168 $\times$ 50) = 8400 Symbols per ms Throughput = 8.4 $\times$ 6 bits (in 64-QAM each symbol can carry 6bits) = 50.4Mbps.

Using 2x2 Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO) antenna for the downlink, this throughput is doubled so that a maximum throughput is 100.8 Mbps. In LTE system, a fraction of this bandwidth usually 25% is dedicated for controlling and signalling according to [26]. Therefore, the downlink effective throughput is 75% of the maximum

throughput which is 75.6 Mbps or approximately 75 Mbps. For the uplink, no multiple input multiple output (MIMO) antenna is required because there is only one transmit chain at User Equipment (UE) [27]. Therefore, the effective throughput for uplink is 37.8 Mbps. The Spectral Efficiency is the effective throughput divided by channel bandwidth.



Therefore, the downlink and uplink spectral efficiencies are 7.56 bps/Hz and 3.78 bps/Hz, respectively. The most important factor during network design is the average sector throughput which is the practical measure of the capacity of a sector serving network users in a given location [27]. This average sector throughput is effected by a number of factors such as pathloss, the number of simultaneous users, mobility, indoor/outdoor coverage, tower and antenna heights and the types of user equipments (UEs) being used on the network. With the knowledge this throughput, operators can have good understanding of their capital and operating costs, ensuring better dimensioning activity and network profitability [27].

With the above scenario, the average demands in terms of data can be met without undue interference from co-channel and adjacent channel operations which will result in performance degradation according to [27, 29-30]. To deal with this degradation, femtocell solution is employed. For femtocell deployment, we assumed access points using a spectrum of 5 MHz and a throughput capacity of 10 Mbps to enable us meet the user data demand.

#### **D.** Network Dimensioning

The network dimensioning in this work is based on evolved Node Bs (eNodeBs) and femtocells. The eNodeBs are dimensioned to meet the average user demand in terms of the busy hour data rate of 397.7 Kbps. The dimensioning of eNodeB takes into consideration the average sector throughput which is a function of channel bandwidth, antenna system and the error rate coding [31]. We assumed a sector of channel width of 10 MHz and 2x2 MIMO antenna. The peak download throughput for all LTE network providers in Nigeria is shown in [32]. Assuming the average of all of them which is approximately 58.9 Mbps, based on the calculation of the number of users supported in a site with three sectors per site or eNodeB using 70% penetration.

Downlink Cell Average throughput or Capacity C = 58.9Mbps/sector [32] Designed Downlink Cell Loading or Penetration P =70%Designed Downlink Cell capacity or Throughput T = CP = 41.23Mbps Peak to Average Ratio R = 10%Average Downlink Busy Hour Throughput/user H = 397.7Kbps Number of Sectors per site S = 3 sectors Number of subscribers supported per site or eNodeB, N = TS/((1+R)H) = 283 subscribers [31]. Mobilty Spectral Efficiency based on C = C/B = 5.89bps/Hz, where B is the bandwidth. Therefore the number of sites or eNodeB that can be provided so that the 5000 commuters using Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge rail line can be served = Total number of commuters/N=18

This is the minimum number of eNodeBs that will be provided for 5000 commuters since LTE uses frequency reuse factor of one [31]. These eNodeBs should be situated along the Abuja-Kaduna rail route to provide LTE network coverage for these commuters while in transit.

For femtocell deployment which is necessary for coverage capacity improvement due to vehicular penetration loss (VPL), two access methods may be employed which are; closed subscriber group (CSG) and open subscriber group (OSG). But considering the fact this work is based on speed train which is for the public, OSG access method will be preferable because it will reduce the cost and number of femtocells as no subscriber desires to subscribe for a femtocell for others to use [33]. Open subscriber group femtocell can serve up to 16 subscribers simultaneously or more according to [34]. Taking 16 users per femtocell the number of femtocells that will serve 5000 subscribers is approximately 313 femtocells. These will be deployed to the 53 coaches of the passenger trains plying Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge rail line.

## E. Cost Structure

The cost structure used in this work is based on technoeconomic model. This choice of model is based on its comprehensiveness in incorporating elements of economics and engineering and it also makes way for identifying inputs and outputs [10]. The inputs to this model are:

- APEX
- OPEX
- Revenue

The outputs in this model are:

- Net present value (NPV)
- Internal rate of return (IRR)
- Payback period (PBP)

The parameters for the calculations of NPV and IRR are available in techno-economic model precisely in the MS Excel package. The flow diagram for techno-economic implementation in this project is shown in fig. 3.





Fig. 3. Techno-economic implementation flow diagram

## E(I). Capital Expenditure (CAPEX)

The CAPEX will be based on the assumption that there is already existing LTE network which could not provide network coverage and capacity for the train commuters plying Abuja-Kaduna rail line due to in-building penetration loss and femtocells are to be deployed to fill this gap. Therefore, the cost of LTE core network and spectrum license will not be included. The following CAPEX will be considered in this work: the cost of purchase of femtocells, the cost of installation of femtocells, the cost of backhaul for femtocells and the cost of site leasing.

According to Wade Sarver, Service Solution Manager at Nokia (2011- present) [35] the price of small cell can run from \$2000 and more while installation and wireless backhaul cost are \$1000 and \$1000 respectively as at July, 2018. The CAPEX of a femtocell according to [2] is €1000. This CAPEX is based on the following cost elements: Access point equipment, planning and installation, transmission and share of the AP controller and management system. In [16], the CAPEX and OPEX for Femto Base Station (FBS) are €1100 and €500 respectively. Based on the following CAPEX, we assume FBS CAPEX to be \$1500 which includes Procurement cost, installation cost and cost of backhaul.

## E(II). Operational Expenditure (OPEX)

The OPEX considered in this work are operational, maintenance, marketing and administrative cost. The OPEX estimate is \$500 annually per FBS and is based on the OPEX given in [2][16][35]. The technical lifetime of this device is put at 10 years, so that the NPV and IRR calculations will be based on this lifetime. The CAPEX and OPEX will form the cash outflows for the deployment of femtocells to an already existing LTE network along Abuja-Kaduna railway line in order to provide access and coverage for 5000 commuters plying that route.

The cost model used in this research work is the one used in [8][9]. The CAPEX and OPEX of the network elements are given as follows:

$$CAPEX^{(i)} = \sum_{j} M_{j}^{(i)} c_{j}^{capex} \left(1 + p_{j}^{capex}\right)^{i-1}$$
(1)

OPEX<sup>(i)</sup> = 
$$\sum_{j} N_{j}^{(i)} c_{j}^{opex} \left(1 + p_{j}^{opex}\right)^{i-1}$$
 (2)

where j represents each network element considered such as FBS, spectrum license, backhaul etc,  $N_j^{(i)}$  is the number of elements of type j operated in year i,  $M_j^{(i)}$  is the number of elements of type j bought in year i,  $C_j^{capex}$  and  $C_j^{opex}$  are the per-unit investment and operating cost respectively for each element of type j in year i while  $P_j^{capex}$  and  $P_j^{opex}$  are the yearly price trends.

The sum of Equation (1) and Equation (2) can be denoted by Co, where Co can be regarded as the initial cash flow and is one of the inputs to Equation (3).

#### E(III). Revenue

The revenue will be forecast on average revenue per user (ARPU). This ARPU will include each user's monthly data consumption, average monthly voice calls, SMS and other services such as info news and music used as ring tone, and the cost of LTE Sim card. We chose the monthly charge because it is easy to estimate. We pegged the average monthly data consumption rate at 10 Gb which is the value used in our network dimensioning. This is because subscribers tend to use data more in an environment where access to a network is seamless which deployment of femtocells to LTE network will provide to indoor users of LTE network. We assume 2000 NGN as ARPU per month for voice call, SMS and order services while ARPU for 10 Gb monthly data consumption is estimated to be 3500 according to [24-25] NGN which is the monthly data price for most LTE network providers in Nigeria. The total annual revenue forms the annual cash inflows used in the computation of NPV and IRR to determine



the economic feasibility of deploying femtocells to already existing LTE network along Abuja-Kaduna railway line for 5000 commuters plying that route.

#### The discount rate

The discount rate which is also referred as opportunity cost of capital is the rate of interest used to find out the anticipated or present value of future cash flows [36]. The discount rate is 10% by default, although in this work we used a discount rate of 22% based on the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) current interest rate of 18% as of April 26, 2023 as our benchmark [37].

## E(IV). Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR)

The NPV and the IRR are economic tools used to carry out sensitivity analysis on several parameters such as CAPEX, OPEX and revenues based on discounted rate. Their values are used to determine the viability of investing in a particular business venture. If the NPV is positive the project is viable, and it shows that the investment is cost effective considering the time value of money which is expressed by the discount rate. IRR is the discount rate that makes the NPV zero. If the IRR is greater than the discount rate used in the economic analysis, in this case 22%, then the investment is cost effective. NPV and IRR are calculated using these mathematical expressions of Equation (3):

NPV = 
$$\sum_{t=0}^{N} \frac{C_t}{(1+r)^t} + Co$$
 (3)

Where  $C_t$  is the cash flow each period t,  $C_0$  is initial cash flow and it is negative, t is each period, r is the discount rate, N is the total number of project life time

If NPV is zero, then r = IRR

Fortunately, NPV and IRR can be simulated in an MS Excel environment.

## E(V). Payback Period (PBP)

This is period when the cash balance turns positive showing that there will be a return on investment beginning from that period

## IV. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

## A. Cash Outflow

The cash outflows which will be used in the techno-economic analysis will comprise the CAPEX and the OPEX values of femtocell base stations (FBS). The CAPEX and the OPEX values of eNodeBs were not considered because it is assumed that these facilities were already in place but were not able to provide access for 5000 train passengers plying Abuja-Kaduna railway route in terms of coverage and volume, and femtocells were deployed to play that role. From the dimensional analysis part of this work, it is shown that about 313 FBS are needed to ensure that these 5000 commuters at most have access to LTE network daily with each FBS serving 16 simultaneous users. Table 1 shows the total CAPEX and OPEX for these 313 FBS distributed among the 53 passenger coaches plying Abuja-Kaduna standard gauge railway line.

Table -1 FBS CAPEX estimation

	Number	CAPEX	CAPEX (N)			
	of FBS	(\$)	<b>₩</b> 460.87= \$1			
16 users per	313	469500	216 378 465			
FBS						

The above CAPEX shown in Table 1 is based on FBS procurement, installation and license and backhaul costs. The OPEX is an annualized OPEX and covers operation,

maintenance, marketing and administrative costs. Table 2 presents the FBS annualized OPEX estimation.

Number	of	Number of FBS	OPEX (\$)	OPEX
year		(User Oriented)		(₦)
Year 1		313	156500	72126155
Year 2		313	156500	72126155
Year 3		313	156500	72126155
Year 4		313	156500	72126155
Year 5		313	156500	72126155
Year 6		313	156500	72126155
Year 7		313	156500	72126155
Year 8		313	156500	72126155
Year 9		313	156500	72126155
Year 10		313	156500	72126155
Total			1565000	721261550



The total cash outflow denoted by Co is given in table 3 which is the sum of the CAPEX and the average annualized OPEX.

Table -3 FBS cash outflows			
Investment			
CAPEX (₩)	1216378465		
OPEX (₩)	72126 155		
Cash Outflow (₦)	2288 504 620		

#### **B.** Cash Inflow

The cash inflows will be based on revenues accrued from different services offered by LTE network providers in Nigeria. Therefore, the total ARPU is given as 5500 NGN. This ARPU is multiplied by the total number of users to get

the total annual revenue. 70% of the 5000 commuters were assumed to be actively using the network as it is impossible for the 5000 passengers to have access to the network during the busy hours. Table 4 shows the total for the first year and the gradual increment in subsequent years.

Table-4 Estimation of annual	revenues (cash in	nflows) from the investn	nent

Number of years	ARPU for data (in ₦) with 3% annual increase compounding	ARPU for other services (in ₦) with 1% annual increase compounding	Total (₦)
Income year 1	147,000,000.00	84,000,000.00	231,000,000.00
Income year 2	151,410,000.00	84,840,000.00	236,250,000.00
Income year 3	155,952,300.00	85,688,400.00	241,640,700.00
Income year 4	160,630,869.00	86,545,284.00	247,176,153.00
Income year 5	165,449,795.07	87,410,736.84	252,860,531.91
Income year 6	170,413,288.92	88,284,844.21	258,698,133.13
Income year 7	175,525,687.59	89,167,692.65	264,693,380.24
Income year 8	180,791,458.22	90,059,369.58	270,850,827.79
Income year 9	186,215,201.96	90,959,963.27	277,175,165.24
Income year 10	191,801,658.02	91,869,562.91	283,671,220.93

#### C. Calculation of Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR)

Computation of NPV and IRR require the following parameters: cash outflows, cash inflows, project life time, discount rate, income tax (corporate tax). The tax is calculated

after company's net profit. The Nigerian corporate tax is put at 30% according to [38]. Therefore, the cash inflows used in the calculation of NPV and IRR were the after tax cash inflows given in table 5.

Table -5 Cash flows at 30% tax

Cash Flows @ 30% tax						
	Revenue		Net cash flows	Tax at 30%	Net cash flows	
Year	inflows ( <del>N</del> )	Cash outflows (₦)	before tax ( <del>N</del> )	(₦)	after tax (₦)	
1	231,000,000.00	72,126,155.00	158,873,845.00	47,662,153.50	111,211,691.50	
2	236,250,000.00	72,126,155.00	164,123,845.00	49,237,153.50	114,886,691.50	
3	241,640,700.00	72,126,155.00	169,514,545.00	50,854,363.50	118,660,181.50	
4	247,176,153.00	72,126,155.00	175,049,998.00	52,514,999.40	122,534,998.60	
5	252,860,531.91	72,126,155.00	180,734,376.91	54,220,313.07	126,514,063.84	
6	258,698,133.13	72,126,155.00	186,571,978.13	55,971,593.44	130,600,384.69	
7	264,693,380.24	72,126,155.00	192,567,225.24	57,770,167.57	134,797,057.67	
8	270,850,827.79	72,126,155.00	198,724,672.79	59,617,401.84	139,107,270.96	
9	277,175,165.24	72,126,155.00	205,049,010.24	61,514,703.07	143,534,307.17	
10	283,671,220.93	72,126,155.00	211,545,065.93	63,463,519.78	148,081,546.15	

•

## **D.** Simulation of NPV and IRR

The NPV and the IRR are simulated for different oriented approaches in an MS Excel environment using the following parameters:

- Cash out flows for each approach
- Discount rate estimated at 22% which is above the CBN interest rate benchmark of 13.5%

• Net cash flows after tax as seen in table 5

Table 6 shows the result of these simulations

Table -6 NPV and IRR values						
Net Present Value (NPV) in NGN and Internal Rate of Return (IRR)						
	Cash flows in		Discoun		FEASIBL	
Year	NGN	Description	t rate	0.22	Е	
				193,016,724	FEASIBL	
year 0	(288,504,620.00)	Investment	NPV	.49	Е	
					FEASIBL	
year 1	111,211,691.50	Net cash flows after tax	IRR	40%	Е	
year 2	114,886,691.50	Net cash flows after tax				
year 3	118,660,181.50	Net cash flows after tax				
year 4	122,534,998.60	Net cash flows after tax				
year 5	126,514,063.84	Net cash flows after tax				
year 6	130,600,384.69	Net cash flows after tax				
year 7	134,797,057.67	Net cash flows after tax				
year 8	139,107,270.96	Net cash flows after tax				
year 9	143,534,307.17	Net cash flows after tax				
year						
10	148,081,546.15	Net cash flows after tax				

From the results of the simulations as shown in table 6, it can be seen that NPV is positive for both approaches and that IRR value is greater than the estimated discount rate of 22%. This means that the investment is feasible. Therefore, the deployment of femtocells to existing LTE network is cost effective at IRR values up to 53%. Any IRR value above 53% makes the NPV value negative and consequently the project will not be feasible.

#### E. Calculation of Pay Back Period

It can be seen from Table 7 that it will take about 1.75 years for the LTE network providers in Nigeria to recover their investment on deploying femtocells in order to improve LTE network coverage and capacity for the 5000 commuters using the speed train on this rail line.



	rusic / rusicuk period							
	year 0	year 1	year 2	year 3	year 4	year 5		
Initial Outlay	₩ 288,504,620.0 0							
After-tax cash flows		₩111,211,691.50	₩114,886,691. 50	₩118,660,181. 50	₩122,534,998. 60	₩126,514,06 3.84		
Cumulative cash flow	N -   288,504,620.0 0	<del>N</del> - 177,292,928.50	<del>N</del> - 62,406,237.00	₩56,253,944.5 0	₩178,788,943. 10	<b>№</b> 305,303,00 6.94		
Add a fraction row	-	-	-	-0.509293163	0.444645779	1.368977155		
Full yrs where CCF is -ve		2	1	0	0	0		
Partial yrs where CCF is -ve	-0.509293163	Partial yrs where CCF is - ve						
Payback period		2.923850934 Ignor sign	ring the negative					
		2 year, 5 months						

Table -7 Payback period

## F. NPV Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis helps to find out how different inputs process affect an output process based on a given set of assumptions [39]. By changing one input variable while others are kept constant, how sensitive the output is can be evaluated. In this work, sensitivity analysis was carried out to check how various input parameters such as CAPEX, OPEX, Tax rate, number of active users and so on affect the output parameter in this case the NPV value. The result is shown in a spider chart, as depicted in Fig. 4. Tax rate and OPEX sensitivities are approximately the same. This has resulted in the enveloping of the OPEX by the tax rate.



Fig. 4. Spider chart for NPV sensitivity analysis

From Fig. 4, it can be observed that the input variable that the investors need to worry about most is the number of users

because it impacts most on the feasibility of this investment. This is followed by the ARPU. Therefore, the service provider



should ensure that it provides good quality network with an impressive quality of service (QoS) in order to encourage more users resulting in increase in ARPU.

## V. CONCLUSION

The results of the techno-economic breakdown show the Net Present Value (NPV) to be positive which is №193,016,724.49 at a discount rate of 22%. This means that it is cost effective for LTE network providers in Nigeria to embark on such a project. The Internal Rate of Return (IRR) values of 53% are an indication that this investment is feasible at these discounted rates above which it will no longer be viable. From the value of the payback period which is about 2 years, 5 months. This infers that it will not take long before investors will start having a return on their investment.

Finally, the result of the NPV sensitivity analysis shows that among the various cost and non-cost parameters, the cost effectiveness is affected most by the number of active number of users and the ARPU. The result also shows the investment is not feasible at a discount rate above the internal rate of return of 40%.

From the results of NPV and IRR values which are strong indicators of the viability of investing in this technology by LTE network providers in Nigeria, we recommend for future work the use of game theory model that will enable an LTE network provider to outsmart its competitors. Performance analysis of deployment of femtocells in speed trains along Abuja-Kaduna railway line could be carried out in order to improve indoor coverage and capacity of LTE network.

#### VI. REFERENCE

- [1] Babatunde M. (2016, July 25). Nigeria set to launch new high speed train this week. Face to Face Africa. Retrieved August 1, 2019, from <u>https://face2faceafrica.com/article/nigeria-set-launch-</u> new-high-speed-trains-week
- [2] Markendahl J., Mäkitalo Ö. and Werding J. (2008). Analysis of cost structure and business model options for Wireless Access Provisioning using Femtocell solutions. Rome 2008. 19<sup>th</sup> European Regional ITS Conference.
- [3] Femtoforum (2009, June 1) Femtocell business case white paper. Retrieved August 1, 2019, from www.femtoforum.org
- [4] Claussen H., Ho L. T. W. and Samuel L. G. (2007). Financial analysis of a pico-cellular home network deployment. 7<sup>th</sup> IEEE International Conference on Communication, Glasgow
- [5] Loizillon F. et al. (2002). Final results on seamless mobile IP service provision economics. Technical Report, IST-2000-25127 TONIC Deliverable Number 11, (pp.1-128). Available at http://www.netlab.tkk.fi/opetus/s38041/k04/tonic\_d11.p df

- [6] Johansson K. (2007). Cost effective deployment strategies for heterogeneous wireless networks. PhD Dissertation, KTH School of Information and Communication Technology, Communication Systems, Stockholm, pp. 1-178
- [7] Johansson K. et al. (2004). Relation between base station characteristics and cost structure in cellular networks. New York, Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, 2004. PIMRC 2004. 15<sup>th</sup> IEEE International Symposium on, Vol. 4, DOI: 10.1109/PIMRC.2004.1368795
- [8] Antolín M., Josep V., Jorge P., Adrián A., Ninoslav M. and Anders H. M. (2011). Technoeconomic evaluation of cooperative relaying transmission techniques in OFDM cellular networks. EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, vol. 6, pp. 1-23, DOI: 10.1155/2011/507035
- [9] Zoraida F. and Jorge P. (2012). Techno-economic analysis of femtocell deployment in long term evolution networks. EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking, https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1499-2012-288
- [10] Krisnadi I. and Tanjung I. K. (2017). Feasibility analysis of implementation 3G macro additional sector Jabo area using techno-economic approach. IncomTech, Jurnal Telekomunikasi dan Komputer, pp. 297-312, DOI: 10.22441/INCOMTECH.V713.1173
- [11] Burk C. (2017). Techno-economic modeling for new technology development, Available at (briefs.techconnect.org/wpcontent/volumes/TCB2017v1/pdf/1061.pdf)
- [12] López-Pérez D., Valcarce A., De la Roche G. and Zhang J. (2009). OFDMA femtocells: a roadmap on interference avoidance. IEEE Communication Magazine, vol. 47, pp. 41–48, DOI: 10.1109/MCOM.2009.5277454
- [13] Chandrasekhar V., Andrews J. and Gartherer A. (2008). Femtocell networks: a survey. IEEE Communication Magazine, vol. 46, pp. 59–67. DOI: 10.1109/MCOM.2008.4623708
- [14] Chowdhury M. Z., Jang, Y. M. and Haas Z. J. (2010). Network Evolution and QoS Provisioning for Integrated Femtocell/Macrocell Networks. International Journal of Wireless and Mobile Networks, vol. 2, no. 3, DOI:10.5121/ijwmn.2010.2301
- [15] Claussen H., Ho L. and Samuel L. (2007). Financial analysis of a pico- cellular home network deployment. Glasgow, Communications 2007. ICC 2007. 7<sup>th</sup> IEEE International Conference, pp. 5604-5609. DOI: 10.1109/ICC.2007.929
- [16] Smail G. and Weijia J. (2017). Techno-economic analysis and prediction for the deployment of 5G mobile network. Paris.Innovations in Clouds, Internet and Networks 2017. ICIN 2017. 20th Confrerence, pp. 9-16. DOI: 10.1109/ICIN.2017,7899243



- [17] Qualcomm Incorporated, (2011). Enterprise multifemtocell deployment guidelines, in Qualcomm Incorperated June2011, vol. 1, pp. 1-39. Available at http://www.qualcomm.com/content/dam/qcommmartech/dmassets/documents/Research\_Enterprise\_Femtocell.v1.20 110624.pdf
- [18] Algali A. (2018, August 10) Indepth: How Nigeria's Abuja-Kaduna railway is impacting lives of commuters, communities at both ends of rail corridor. Retrieved August, 1, 2019, from <u>https://www.africannewspage.net/2018/08/10/howabuja-kaduna-railway-is-impacting-the-lives-ofcommuters-and-communities-at-both-ends-of-the-railcorridor-in-nigeria/</u>
- [19] Odoh I. (2019, April 21). Abuja-Kaduna railway services in high demand after 1000 days of operation. Retrieved August, 1, 2019, from https://businessday.ng/features/article/abuja-kadunarailway-services-in-high-demand after 1000-days-ofoperation
- [20] Saanyol, T. (2016, July 3). FG to buy more locomotives, coaches for Abuja–Kaduna rail. Retrieved August, 1, 2019, from https://tribuneonlineng.com/fgbuy-locomotives-coaches-abuja-kaduna-rail/
- [21] Raheem R. Lasebae A. and Loo J. K. (2014). Performance Evaluation of LTE network via Fixed/Mobile Femtocells. Canada. Advanced Networking an d Applications Workshops 2014. WAINA 2014. 28<sup>th</sup> International Conference, pp. 255-260 DOI: 10.1109/WAINA.2014.51
- [22] Facebook Incorporated (2018, July 26). United States Securities and Exchange Commission. <u>RetrievedAugust</u> <u>1,2019</u> from <u>https://www.google.com/search?q=Facebook+Incorpor</u> <u>ated+%282018%2C+July+26%29.+United+States+Sec</u> urities+and+Exchange+Commission.
- [23] Theresa F., Henry C. P. A., Rosenthal D. A. and Weitz, R. R. (2014). <u>Socially awkward: social media</u> <u>companies' nonfinancial metrics can send a mixed</u> <u>message.</u> Journal of Accountancy, vol. 1, pp. 52-63.
- [24] Mobile Telecommunication Network. (2020). MTN data plans. Retrieved August 1, 2019, https://www.mtnonline.com/personal/data/data-plans/
- [25] Globacom Nigeria Limited. (2020, August 1). Glo data plans. Retrieved October 26, 2020, from https://www.gloworld.com/ng/personal/data/data-plans/
- [26] Techplayon (2017). LTE FDD system capacity and throughput calculation. Retrieved August 1, 2019, from http://www.techplayon.com/lte-fdd-system-capacityand-throughput-calculation
- [27] Motorola (2009). Realistic LTE performance from peak rate to subscriber experience. White Paper, (pp 1-10) Retrieved August 1, 2019, from <u>https://pdfcoffee.com/realistic-lte-experience-</u> <u>whitepaper-motorola-august2009-pdf-free.html</u>

- [28] Verdict Media Limited (2014, December). Abuja-Kaduna rail line. Retrieved August 1, 2019, from <u>https://www.railway-technology.com/projects/abujakaduna-rail-line/</u>
- [29] Claussen H. (2007). Performance of macro- and cochannel femtocells in a hierarchical cell structure. Oulu Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications 2007. PIMRC 2007. 18<sup>th</sup> IEEE International Symposium, pp. 1-5, DOI: 10.1109/PIMRC.2007.4394515
- [30] Ericsson (2008). Downlink co-existence between macro cells and adjacent channel home NodeBs. Kansas City, USA, 5<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> May, 2008. 3GPP TSG-RAN WG4,R4-080939, Technical Meeting number 47.
- [31] Ayman E. (2018, October 6). Practical Aspects of LTE Network Design and Deployment. Retrieved August 1, 2019, from https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.0297
- [32] Techeconmy (2019, December 1). (REPORT) 4G mobile network performance in Nigeria witnessed improvements in 2019. Retrieved November 10, 2020, from <u>https://techeconomy.ng/2019/12/report-4g-mobile-network-performance-in-nigeria</u> witnessedimprovements-in-2019/
- [33] Holma H. and Toskala, A. (2009). LTE for UMTS-OFDMA and SC-FDMA based radio. Wiley Publishers. DOI: 10.1002/9780470745489
- [34] Pakistani Telecommunication Authority (2012, November 2010). Study report on regulatory issues in femtocell (indoor) deployment strategy. Policy & Research Strategy & Development Division, Pakistan Telecommunication Authority. (pp1-33). Available at <u>https://www.pta.gov.pk/media/draft\_femtocells\_report\_130313.pdf</u>
- [35] Quora (2018, January 1). How much does a 4G LTE base station CAPEX and OPEX cost. Retrieved October 8, 2019, from http://www.quora.com/How-much-doesa-4G-LTE-base-station-Capex-and-Opex-cost
- [36] Brealey R. A. and Myers S. C. (2000). Principles of corporate finance. 6<sup>th</sup> Edition,McGraw-Hill. pp.1-816.
- [37] Central Bank of Nigeria. (2023, April 26). Money market indicators (in percentage). Retrieved May 1, 2023, https://www.ehp.gov.pg/mtgs/mpum/rtind.com?wagr=20.

https://www.cbn.gov.ng/rates/mnymktind.asp?year=20 23&month=4

- [38] Federal Inland Revenue Service. (2019, August 1). Tag: income tax payment. Retrieved October 10, 2019, from https://www.firs.gov.ng/sites/Authoring/contentLibrary
- [39] Timo S. (2004). Techno-economic analysis of IEEE 802.16a-based fixed wireless access networks. M.Sc. Thesis, Helsinki University of Technology, Helsinki. pp. 1-124.